

European Journal of Pharmacology 385 (1999) 291-294



www.elsevier.nl/locate/ejphar

# Short communication

# [<sup>3</sup>H]β-CIT: a radioligand for dopamine transporters in rat brain tissue

Nora S. Kula <sup>a,b</sup>, Ross J. Baldessarini <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Frank I. Tarazi <sup>a,b</sup>, Raoul Fisser <sup>a,b</sup>, Shaoyin Wang <sup>c</sup>, Joseph Trometer <sup>d</sup>, John L. Neumeyer <sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mailman and Addiction Research Centers, McLean Division of Massachusetts General Hospital, Belmont, MA 02478, USA
<sup>b</sup> Consolidated Department of Psychiatry and Neuroscience Program, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
<sup>c</sup> Research Biochemicals International (RBI), Natick, MA, USA
<sup>d</sup> New England Nuclear (NEN), Boston, MA, USA

Received 27 July 1999; received in revised form 27 September 1999; accepted 1 October 1999

#### Abstract

[ $^3$ H]2-β-carbomethoxy-3-β-[ $^4$ -iodophenyl]tropane (β-CIT) was prepared and evaluated. With rat forebrain tissue, [ $^3$ H]β-CIT showed high affinity for dopamine transporters (DAT), with selectivity for DAT over norepinephrine transporters, but not serotonin transporters, as well as DAT-stereoselectivity with β-CIT, amphetamine and methylphenidate. Affinity and selectivity for 53 compounds assayed with [ $^3$ H]β-CIT and standard DAT radioligand [ $^3$ H]GBR-12935 were highly correlated ( $^7$  > 0.95). [ $^3$ H]β-CIT is proposed as a useful, high-affinity DAT radioprobe. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Autoradiography; [3H]β-CIT; Dopamine; Monoamine; Transporter; Tropane

#### 1. Introduction

Radioligands with high affinity or selectivity for dopamine transporters (DAT) include tritiated mazindol (Javitch et al., 1984), GBR-12935 (Andersen, 1987), phencyclidine analog N-(1-[1-benzo[b]thien-2-ylcyclohexyl])piperidine (BTCP) (Vignon et al., 1988) and phenyltropane congeners, including radioligands suitable for clinical neuroimaging (Milius et al., 1991; Chally et al., 1996; Neumeyer et al., 1994; La Garza et al., 1999). Phenyltropanes include [3H]CFT (Madras et al., 1989) and  $[^{125}I]R$ -2 $\beta$ -carbomethoxy-3 $\beta$ -(4-iodophenyl)tropane ( $\beta$ -CIT, RTI-55) (Boja et al., 1991; Innis et al., 1991). Since [<sup>3</sup>H]\(\beta\)-CIT is not available, we prepared and characterized it, finding close pharmacological similarity to the standard DAT radioligand [<sup>3</sup>H]GBR-12935, and a very high signalto-noise ratio in radiotransporter binding and autoradiographic experiments.

E-mail address: rjb@mclean.org (R.J. Baldessarini)

#### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Materials

Ten phenyltropanes were prepared (Neumeyer et al., 1994) at Research Biochemicals International (RBI, Natick MA): β-CIT, its precursor (nor-β-CIT), enantiomer (1S)β-CIT, 3,4-diiodophenyl (CIIT), 4-fluorophenyl-(CFT) and 4-chlorophenyl congeners (CCIT), as well as N-2-fluoroethyl-CIT (FE-CIT), N-3-fluropropyl-CIT (FP-CIT), 2β-carboisopropoxy-CIT (CIT-IP), and N-3-fluoropropyl- $2\beta$ -carboisopropoxy (FP-CIT-IP) derivatives. [N- $^3$ Hmethyl]β-CIT (87 Ci/mmol) was prepared from *nor*-β-CIT at New England Nuclear (NEN; Boston, MA). Other NEN radioligands included [propylene-2,3-3H]GBR-12935 (13 Ci/mmol,  $K_d = 1.0$  nM), [phenyl-6'-3H](-)-paroxetine (20 Ci/mmol,  $K_d = 150$  pM), and [N-methyl-<sup>3</sup>H](±)nisoxetine (85 Ci/mmol;  $K_d = 800$  pM). Test agents were from RBI or Sigma (St. Louis, MO) or donated by: Celgene (Warren, NJ; methylphenidate-HCl isomers), Ferrosan (Copenhagen; [-]-paroxetine-HCl), Hoechst-Roussel (Sommerville, NJ; nomifensine-maleate), Eli Lilly (Indianapolis, IN; (±)-fluoxetine–HCl), Lundbeck

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailman Research Center, McLean Hospital 115 Mill Street, Belmont, MA 02478, USA. Tel.: +1-617-855-3203; fax: +1-617-855-3479.

(Copenhagen;  $[\pm]$ -citalopram-HBr), Novartis (Basle, Switzerland; mazindol), Pfizer (Groton, CT; [+]-sertraline-HCl), and Philips-Duphar (Amsterdam; fluvoxamine-maleate).

#### 2.2. Cerebral tissue

Brain tissue from young adult (250 g), male Sprague—Dawley rats (Charles River Labs, Wilmington, MA; following federal guidelines and Institutional approval) included homogenates (in ice-cold, 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4 with 150 mM NaCl) of caudate-putamen (CPu) or frontoparietal cerebral cortex, and cryostatic coronal sections (10 µm) through mid-striatum.

### 2.3. Radiotransporter assays

[3H]\(\beta\)-CIT was incubated with striatal homogenates in assay buffer (50 mM Tris-citrate, pH 7.4 with 120 mM NaCl and 4 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) at concentrations (C) of 25–4500 pM (optimized for routine assays at C = 300 pM, 60 min, 20°C), and compared with [ ${}^{3}$ H]GBR-12935 (C = 400 pM, 45 min, 4°C), with 1 μM GBR-12909 to define nonspecific binding (Kula and Baldessarini, 1990). [<sup>3</sup>H](-)-Paroxetine (C = 200 pM, 60 min, 20°C; blank 2  $\mu$ M fluoxetine) labeled 5-HT transporters (5-HTT) (Habert et al., 1985), and [ ${}^{3}$ H]nisoxetine (C = 270 pM, 180 min, 4 ${}^{\circ}$ C; blank 2 µM desipramine) labeled norepinephrine transporters (NET) (Tejani-Butt, 1992) in cortical homogenates in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) with 5 mM KCl and 120 mM NaCl (300 mM for NET). Assays were terminated on ice, filtered (glass-fiber filters saturated with 0.3% [vols] polyethyleneimine), washed with excess ice-cold 150 mM saline, and counted in Polyfluor (Packard Instruments; Meriden, CT) in a LS spectrophotometer (Wallac-LKB; Gaithersburg, MD; 50% efficiency).

[³H]β-CIT  $K_d$  was determined by Scatchard and kinetic analyses (1 μM GBR-12909 used for  $k_{\rm off}$ , with  $K_d = k_{\rm off}/k_{\rm on}$ ) (Kula and Baldessarini, 1990; Baldessarini et al., 1992). Concentration-inhibition functions were based on  $\geq 2$  independent analyses involving  $\geq 6$  concentrations (in triplicate) of each test agent, following screening at 1, 3 and 10 μM. Hill slopes and IC<sub>50</sub> ± S.E., converted to  $K_i$  (nM) ( $K_i = IC_{50}/[1 + (C/K_d)]$ ) were determined by computer-function-fitting (Baldessarini et al., 1992).  $K_i$  for 53 test agents with both DAT radioligands, and  $K_i$  selectivity-ratios (for 5-HT/DA and NE/DA radiotransporter assays) were compared by linear regression of their negative logarithms (p $K_i$  or p[ $K_i$ -ratio]).

# 2.4. Autoradiography

Cryostatic coronal sections (10  $\mu$ m) through mid-striatum were preincubated (60 min, 20°C) in DAT assay buffer, then 60 min in fresh buffer with 2 nM [ $^3$ H] $\beta$ -CIT or 2 nM [ $^3$ H]GBR-12935 (with 1  $\mu$ M *cis*-flupenthixol, to prevent labeling of piperazine sites), with nonspecific bind-

ing defined with 1  $\mu$ M GBR-12909 with/without 1  $\mu$ M citalopram. Slides were washed twice (5 min in ice-cold fresh buffer), dipped in ice-cold water, dried, exposed to tritium-sensitive film for 10 days with [ $^3$ H]standards, photodeveloped, and analyzed by computed densitometry, all detailed elsewhere (Tarazi et al., 1998).

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Binding of $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT with rat striatal homogenates

Striatal binding of  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT was linear vs. time (1–30 min, saturating by 45 min at 20°C), and linearly dependent on tissue-protein (to  $\pm 5$ -times standard assay conditions equivalent to 1.5 mg fresh striatum). Unlabeled  $\beta$ -CIT inhibited  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT binding with striatal homogenates monophasically (slope function, 0.99).  $K_d$  of  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT by Scatchard and kinetic analyses averaged 230 pM. At standard assay C = 300 pM  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT, specific binding defined with 1  $\mu$ M GBR-12909 averaged 92%.

# 3.2. Pharmacology of binding of $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT compared with $[^3H]GBR$ -12935

Potency ( $K_i$ , nM) of 53 compounds competing vs. [ ${}^3$ H] $\beta$ -CIT for binding to presumptive DAT sites in striatal membranes, was compared with  $K_i$  vs. [ ${}^3$ H]GBR-12935 (Table 1). Hill slope functions with compounds with  $K_i < 1$   $\mu$ M averaged: 0.998  $\pm$  0.036 with [ ${}^3$ H] $\beta$ -CIT and 0.857  $\pm$  0.025 with [ ${}^3$ H]GBR-12935.

Expected isomeric preference was found with *R*-over-*S*- $\beta$ -CIT, (+)-over-(-)-amphetamine, and (+)-over-(-) methylphenidate with both radioligands. Phenyltropane affinities were similar with both radioligands, but CFT was 57-times less potent than  $\beta$ -CIT vs. [ ${}^{3}$ H] $\beta$ -CIT, and preferred [ ${}^{3}$ H] $\beta$ BR-12935 over [ ${}^{3}$ H] $\beta$ -CIT by 5-fold. Similar  $K_{i}$ -rank-order was shown with both DAT radioligands by DAT active agents, with lower affinity for other comparison agents (Table 1).

Some agents selective for 5-HTT or NET (Table 1) had expected weak interactions with both DAT radioprobes ( $K_i$  all  $\geq 1~\mu\text{M}$  with fluoxetine, desipramine, fluvoxamine, citalopram), but several antidepressants usually considered selective for 5-HTT or NET had some DAT affinity with both radioligands (sertraline, paroxetine, nisoxetine:  $K_i = 14-506~\text{nM}$ ). Mazindol was NET-selective (51–114-times), but showed considerable DAT- as well as 5-HTT affinity ( $K_i$  16–38 nM), and nomifensine favored NET-over-DAT by 16–25-fold.

Overall correlation of p $K_i$  values for compounds tested with both DAT probes (Table 1) was very high (r=0.988, slope = 0.992, p<0.0001). Moreover, selectivity (p $K_i$ -ratios) for 5-HT/DA and NE/DA transporters was similar with both DAT radioligands (r=0.993, slope = 0.942 for DAT-over-5-HTT, and r=0.992, slope = 0.971 for DAT-over-NET; both p<0.0001).

Table 1 Affinity ( $K_i$ , nM  $\pm$  S.E.) at monoamine transporters in rat brain tissue. The following compounds showed < 10% receptor binding activity at > 10,000 nM with both DA<sub>T</sub> radioligands: atropine, benzoylnorecognine, m-benzoylecognine, p-benzoylnorecognine, bretylium, (-)-epinephrine, guanethidine, (-)-norepinephrine, octopamine, propylamine, serotonin, m-tyramine, p-tyramine

Test Compound	Dopamine		Serotonin	Norepinephrine
	$[^3H]\beta$ -CIT	[ <sup>3</sup> H]GBR-12935	[ <sup>3</sup> H]Paroxetine	[ <sup>3</sup> H]Nisoxetine
Tropanes				
nor-β-CIT	$0.64 \pm 0.097$	$0.42 \pm 0.06$	$0.062 \pm 0.001$	$1.85 \pm 0.21$
CIIT	$1.26 \pm 0.04$	$0.96 \pm 0.08$	$0.38 \pm 0.03$	$50.8 \pm 3.0$
3-CIT	$1.33 \pm 0.15$	$0.96 \pm 0.15$	$0.46 \pm 0.06$	$2.80 \pm 0.40$
CIT-IP	$1.85 \pm 0.25$	$3.28 \pm 0.22$	$20.8 \pm 1.5$	$592 \pm 50$
CCIT	$2.36 \pm 0.17$	1.75 + 0.07	6.40 + 0.32	$17.5 \pm 4.6$
FE-CIT	$7.19 \pm 0.74$	$3.67 \pm 0.43$	$0.86 \pm 0.06$	$93.0 \pm 17$
FP-CIT	$8.29 \pm 0.53$	$3.53 \pm 0.34$	$1.68 \pm 0.13$	$63.0 \pm 4.0$
FP-CIT-IP	$-15.6 \pm 1.7$	$8.83 \pm 1.45$	$48.7 \pm 8.4$	$\geq 10,000$
CFT	$76.0 \pm 2.3$	$14.7 \pm 2.9$	$181 \pm 21$	$635 \pm 110$
o-OH–Cocaine	$230 \pm 13$	$170 \pm 50$	$3600 \pm 400$	$773 \pm 68$
Cocaine	$400 \pm 50$	$350 \pm 67$	$1500 \pm 200$	$1500 \pm 250$
n-OH–Cocaine	$720 \pm 200$	470 + 75	$1500 \pm 200$ $1500 \pm 200$	$7000 \pm 200$
1S)-β-CIT	> 10,000	> 10.000	$558 \pm 66$	> 10,000
10, p C11	> 10,000	> 10,000	330 <u>+</u> 00	> 10,000
Dopamine transport blockers	1			
GBR-12909	$0.15 \pm 0.05$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$52.8 \pm 4.4$	> 10,000
GBR-12935	$1.59 \pm 0.02$	$0.46 \pm 0.05$	$1000 \pm 150$	$1500 \pm 250$
ndatraline	$1.77 \pm 0.12$	$0.90 \pm 0.09$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	$1.17 \pm 0.16$
ВТСР	$3.90 \pm 0.70$	$5.60 \pm 0.57$	$66.1 \pm 4.7$	$53.2 \pm 7.7$
GBR-13069	$4.00 \pm 0.10$	$1.07 \pm 0.15$	$160 \pm 22$	$2000 \pm 300$
Amfonelic acid	$-18.7 \pm 1.3$	$5.64 \pm 0.9$	> 10,000	> 10,000
+ )-Methylphenidate	$125 \pm 10$	$54.3 \pm 6.2$	> 10,000	$126 \pm 7.0$
±)-Methylphenidate	$211 \pm 23$	$82.9 \pm 15.7$	> 10,000	$242 \pm 15$
<ul><li>-)-Methylphenidate</li></ul>	$1500 \pm 200$	451 ± 118	> 10,000	$3000 \pm 400$
Benztropine	$242 \pm 22.0$	$52.6 \pm 38.3$	$383 \pm 24$	$1000 \pm 200$
GYKI-52895	$378 \pm 42$	$281 \pm 35$	> 10,000	> 10,000
Supropion Supropion	$840 \pm 72$	$168 \pm 21$	> 10,000	≥ 10,000 ≥ 10,000
+)-Amphetamine	$1000 \pm 150$	$1000 \pm 21$ $1000 \pm 150$	> 10,000	$1000 \pm 150$
—)-Amphetamine	> 10,000	> 10,000	> 10,000	> 10,000
— )-Amphetamme	> 10,000	> 10,000	> 10,000	> 10,000
Serotonin or norepinephrine	transporter ligands			
Sertraline	$20.0 \pm 2.9$	$13.8 \pm 3.9$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	> 10,000
Mazindol	$37.6 \pm 91.4$	$16.9 \pm 9.7$	$36.1 \pm 9.7$	$0.33 \pm 0.08$
Nomifensine	$76.5 \pm 6.7$	$48.9 \pm 22$	$2600 \pm 350$	$3.11 \pm 0.38$
Paroxetine	$355 \pm 52$	$506 \pm 66$	$0.90 \pm 0.30$	$324 \pm 47.0$
Visoxetine	$505 \pm 50$	$286 \pm 25$	$158 \pm 29$	$0.460 \pm 0.20$
luoxetine	$1700 \pm 250$	$1100 \pm 200$	$3.55 \pm 0.29$	$6000 \pm 800$
Desipramine	> 10,000	7000	$228 \pm 20$	$0.061 \pm 0.041$
luvoxamine	> 10,000	> 10,000	$2.77 \pm 0.17$	$5000 \pm 600$
Citalopram	> 10,000	> 10,000	$0.820 \pm 0.030$	> 10,000
Missellaneous common 1-				
Miscellaneous compounds	$3000 \pm 400$	967 ± 126	153 1 10	1500 ± 250
Chlorpheniramine		$867 \pm 126$	$45.3 \pm 4.8$	$1500 \pm 250$
Dopamine	> 10,000	$2500 \pm 300$	> 10,000	> 10,000
R(-)-Apomorphine	> 10,000	$5000 \pm 600$	> 10,000	> 10,000
Franylcypromine	> 10,000	$\geq 10,000$	> 10,000	$3000 \pm 400$

# 3.3. Autoradiography

[ $^3$ H]β-CIT autoradiography yielded well-defined signals-over-background. Labeling was highly selective for CPu and nucleus accumbens septi (NAc), with  $\geq$  11% as much specific radiographic density in other regions, including frontal cortex. Nonspecific binding (with 1  $\mu$ M GBR-12909) accounted for only 8.0%, and 11.1% of total

[ $^3$ H]β-CIT binding in CPu and NAc, where specific DAT binding ( $\pm$ S.E.M., N = 5) ranked: 249  $\pm$  12.6 and 154  $\pm$  3.5 fmol/mg tissue, respectively. The weak remaining signal with [ $^3$ H]β-CIT + GBR-12909 in striatum fell virtually to background with 1  $\mu$ M citalopram included.

In contrast to [<sup>3</sup>H]β-CIT, alternate rat brain sections evaluated under matched conditions with [<sup>3</sup>H]GBR-12935 (with *cis*-flupenthixol to mask piperazine binding sites)

yielded lower proportions of specific to total radioligand binding (70.0% in CPu, 59.1% in NAc), and 1  $\mu$ M citalopram reduced background only slightly, consistent with the low affinity of GBR-12935 vs. 5-HTT ligand [ $^{3}$ H]paroxetine with cerebral cortical homogenates ( $K_{i}$  = 1180 nM; Table 1).

# 4. Discussion

Other than  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT, the only other commercially available tritiated-phenyltropane for labeling DAT is  $[^3H]$ CFT, studied with primate brain tissue (Madras et al., 1989). Unlabeled  $\beta$ -CIT showed 57- and 15-fold higher affinity than CFT vs.  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT and  $[^3H]GBR$ -12935 (Table 1).  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT was selective for DAT sites in autoradiographs of corpus striatum: 90% of total binding was displaced by the dissimilar but very potent DAT ligand, GBR-12909 (Table 1). The little  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT bound in extrastriatal areas was virtually completely displaced by the potent, very highly 5-HTT-selective agent citalopram. These findings suggest only minor cross-reaction of  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT to 5-HTT in striatum. Nevertheless,  $[^{125}I]\beta$ -CIT (RTI-55) can label 5-HTT in serotonin-rich sites, including raphe nuclei (Fujita et al., 1991).

There was very close pharmacological similarity of  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT and standard DAT-radioligand  $[^3H]GBR$ -12935, with expected stereoselectivity for several enantiomeric-pairs and similar potency-rankings for 53 test-agents with or without DAT-selectivity. With both radioligands, there were also high correlations of  $pK_i$  values and of selectivity ( $pK_i$ -ratios) for DAT over both 5-HTT and NET. Binding of  $[^3H]\beta$ -CIT was monophasic, though the radioiodinated- $\beta$ -CIT ( $[^{125}I]RTI$ -55) detected two binding sites (Boja et al., 1991).

The present results support the utility of [³H]β-CIT as a radioligand for the DAT, with high DAT-affinity, very high proportion of specific binding in rat striatum, and close pharmacological similarity to standard DAT radioligand [³H]GBR-12935. [³H]β-CIT should be useful for radiotransporter assays and autoradiographic analysis of DAT-rich tissues.

#### Acknowledgements

Supported by grants from NIH MH-19905, MH-34006, MH-47370, MH-49533, Bruce J. Anderson Foundation, funds of the McLean Private Donors Neuropharmacology Research Fund; and Saal van Zwanenbergstichtung. Drug substances were generously donated by manufacturers listed above.

#### References

- Andersen, P.H., 1987. Biochemical and pharmacological characterization of [<sup>3</sup>H]GBR-12935 binding in vitro to rat striatal membranes: labeling of the dopamine uptake complex. J. Neurochem. 48, 1887–1896.
- Baldessarini, R.J., Kula, N.S., Campbell, A., Bakthavachalam, V., Yuan, J., Neumeyer, J.L., 1992. Prolonged D<sub>2</sub> antidopaminergic activity of alkylating and nonalkylating derivatives of spiperone in rat brain. Mol. Pharmacol. 42, 856–863.
- Boja, J.W., Patel, A., Carroll, F.I., Rahman, M.A., Philip, A., Lewin, A.H., Kopajtic, T.A., Kuhar, M.J., 1991. [1251]RTI-55, a potent ligand for dopamine transporters. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 194, 133–134.
- Chally, T., Dhawan, V., Kuzumata, K., Antonini, A., Margouleff, C., Elakhlef, A., Margouleff, D., Yee, A., Wang, S., Tamagnan, G., Neumeyer, J.L., Eidelberg, D., 1996. Radio-synthesis of [18 F]N-3-fluoropropyl-2-β-carbomethoxy-3-β-(4-iodophenyl)nortropane and the first human study with positron emission tomography. J. Nucl. Med. Biol. 23, 999–1004.
- Fujita, J., Shimada, S., Fukuchi, K., Tohyama, M., Nishimura, T., 1991.
  Distribution of cocaine recognition sites in rat brain: in vitro and ex vivo autoradiography with [<sup>125</sup>I]RTI-55. Chem. Neuroanat. 7, 13–23.
- Habert, E., Graham, D., Tahraoui, L., Claustre, Y., Langer, S.Z., 1985. Characterization of [<sup>3</sup>H]paroxetine binding to rat cortical membranes. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 118, 107–114.
- Innis, R.B., Baldwin, R., Sybirska, E., Zea, Y., Laruelle, M., Al-Tikriti, M., Charney, D., Zoghbi, S., Smith, E., Wisniewski, G., Hoffer, P., Wang, S., Milius, R.A., Neumeyer, J.L., 1991. Single photo emission computed tomography imaging of monoamine reuptake sites in primate brain with [123 I]β-CIT. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 200, 369–370.
- Javitch, J.A., Blaustein, R.O., Snyder, S.H., 1984. [<sup>3</sup>H]Mazindol binding associated with neuronal dopamine and norepinephrine uptake sites. Mol. Pharmacol. 26, 35–44.
- Kula, N.S., Baldessarini, R.J., 1990. Lack of increase in dopamine transporter binding or function in rat brain tissue after treatment with blockers of neuronal uptake of dopamine. Neuropharmacology 30, 89–92.
- La Garza, R. II, Meltzer, P.C., Madras, B.K., 1999. Non-amine dopamine transporter probe [<sup>3</sup>H]tropoxene distributes to dopamine-rich regions of monkey brain. Synapse 34, 20–27.
- Madras, B.K., Spealman, R.D., Fahey, M.A., Neumeyer, J.L., Saha, J.K., Milius, R.A., 1989. Cocaine receptors labeled by [<sup>3</sup>H]2β-carbomethoxy-3β(4-fluorophenyl)tropane. Mol. Pharmacol. 36, 518–524.
- Milius, R.A., Saha, J.K., Madras, B.K., Neumeyer, J.L., 1991. Synthesis and receptor binding of N-substituted tropane derivatives, high-affinity ligands for the cocaine receptor. J. Med. Chem. 34, 1728–1731.
- Neumeyer, J.L., Wang, S., Gao, Y., Milius, R.A., Kula, N.S., Baldessarini, R.J., 1994. *N*-ω-fluoroalkyl analogs of [1R]-2β-carbomethoxy-3β-[4-iodophenyl]tropane (β-CIT): Radiotracers for positron emission tomography and single photon emission computed tomography imaging of dopamine transporters. J. Med. Chem. 37, 1558–1561.
- Tarazi, F.I., Campbell, A., Yeghiayan, S.K., Baldessarini, R.J., 1998. Localization of dopamine receptor subtypes in caudate-putamen and nucleus accumbens septi of rat brain: comparison of D<sub>1</sub>-, D<sub>2</sub>-, and D<sub>4</sub>-like receptors. Neuroscience 83, 169–176.
- Tejani-Butt, S.M., 1992. [<sup>3</sup>H]Nisoxetine: a radioligand for quantification of norepinephrine uptake sites by autoradiography or by homogenate binding. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 260, 427–436.
- Vignon, J., Pinet, V., Cerruti, C., Kamenka, J.-M., Chicheportiche, R., 1988. [<sup>3</sup>H]N-(1-[2-benzo(b)-thiophenyl]cyclohexyl)-piperidine ([<sup>3</sup>H]BTCP): new phencyclidine analog selective for the dopamine uptake complex. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 148, 427–436.